

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,117	02/05/2004		Bernd Hoffbauer	22781	3987	
535	7590	01/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE FIRM			WARD, JOHN A			
5676 RIVER PO BOX 900		VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RIVERDALI	E (BRON	X), NY 10471-090	0	2875		

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			[11]
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,117	HOFFBAUER, BERND	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John A. Ward	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ The 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	*	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-9 is/are pending in the apply 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is a claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		·
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear how the transparent body formed with a bore has a region with a matte finish, and being smooth finish and transparent and polished, is the transparent, semitransparent area matte smoothed or polished? Appropriate correction is required.

As best understood of the amended claim 1 the following rejection is given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mukogawa et a (US 6,402,339).

Regarding claim 1, Mukogawa et al ('339) discloses a passenger cabin lighting device having a transparent body 11, a semitransparent matte finish 12, means for suspending the body from above 31, a light emitting diode 16 that mounts inside a bore (claim 6) and figure 1 shows how the transparent body is substantially smooth and transparent.

Regarding claim 3, figure 1 of Mukogawa et al shows the matte-finish region 12 extends peripherally around the body.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,117

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 4, the body is formed with a pair of edge bevels that constitute a matte finish (column 3, lines 57-64).

Page 3

Regarding claim 5, the bore that houses the light emitting diode is located in the matte finish (figure 1).

Regarding claim 6, figure 1 further shows how the light emitting diode is snugly fit inside the bore.

Regarding claim 7, Mukogawa et al teaches how the transparent and semitransparent member is bonded to the light emitting diodes by a mass of transparent potting (adhesive) (column 2, lines 50-56).

Regarding claim 8, Mukogawa et al shows in figure 1 light emitting diodes 16 carries a lens (not labeled).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Nowhere in prior art is found a lamp crystal having a bore that houses the light emitting diode.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 2, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the argument that claims 1 and 2, claims the body of the lamp crystal from above it's not properly claimed since all the limitations of the lamp crystal was in the preamble of the claim and not given any patentable weight.

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "lamp crystal) has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/773,117

Art Unit: 2875

Page 5

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAW January 19, 2006

IOHN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER